This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS .
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/851,765	05/09/2001	Rory A. Heim	10006454-1	3814		
	7590 03/13/2003			-		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400			EXAMINER			
			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P			
Fort Collins, C	CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2863			
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Application	No.	Applicant(s)	4,/100		
		_		09/851,765	_	HEIM ET AL.			
	Offic	Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		•		Michael P Ng	hiem	2863			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nc address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status 1)⊠	Resnonsi	ive to communication(s) f	iled on <i>23 [</i>	December 200					
2a)□	•	on is FINAL.		is action is no					
3)□		s application is in condition	·—			osecution as to th	e merits is		
,	closed in	accordance with the pract							
Disposition		ms <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the	application						
•—					deration				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
,	5) Claim(s) <u>3 and 16-21</u> is/are allowed.								
·	6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-12 is/are rejected.								
•	–	3-15 is/are objected to	ation and/a	r alastian ragi	iromont		•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant	may not request that any of	jection to the	e drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	,		
1.1)□ T	he propos	sed drawing correction file	ed on	_ is: a) <u></u> app	roved b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) 🗌 A	cknowledg	gment is made of a claim	for domesti	ic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	l application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice	e of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5)	Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT			

Application/Control Number: 09/851,765

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

The Response filed on December 23, 2002 has been acknowledged.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, and 4-12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,454,381 (Olsen et al.). Even though Olsen et al. ('381) does not disclose an extraction control device for determining ink extracted from the ink container, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a controller (e.g. microprocessor) for the purpose of reading and executing print mode control information contained in the information storage device of Olsen et al. ('381).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/851,765

Art Unit: 2863

All wabl Subject Matter

2. Claims 13-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

3. Claims 3 and 16-21 are allowed.

Reasons For Allowance

4. The combination or method as claimed wherein selecting a print mode froth a plurality of different print modes based on ink extraction characteristics of the replaceable ink container, wherein each print mode of the plurality of different print modes has a different pause value associated therewith (claims 3, 14) or adjusting a rate of ink extraction from the supply of ink during a print operation if the ink flow from the printhead exceeds ink flow into the printhead by a threshold amount (claims 13, 16) or the monitoring and control device adjusts rate of ink extraction from the ink delivery system by selectively controlling numbers of nozzles activated (claim 15) is not disclosed, suggested, or made obvious by the prior art of record.

) .

Art Unit: 2863

R sponse to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Nghiem whose telephone number is (703) 306-3445. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H from 6:30AM – 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached at (703) 308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINEF

Michael Nghiem

February 27, 2003